

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
 I, certify that a copy of the foregoing  
 document to which this certificate is  
 attached was delivered to the attorneys  
 of record of plaintiff, defendant, on  
 the 2nd day of January, 2002  
 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
 BY [Signature]

Chief Judge Coughenour

[Signature] FILED \_\_\_\_\_ ENTERED \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ LODGED \_\_\_\_\_ RECEIVED \_\_\_\_\_

JAN 02 2002 KN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
 AT SEATTLE

AT SEATTLE  
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
 DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHARLES C. MILLER,

Defendant.

NO. CR97-051C

GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO  
 DEFENDANT MILLER'S PLEADINGS

The defendant, Charles Miller, has filed a rambling incomprehensible pleading variously titled as a "Letter Rogatory" or a "Rule 36 Motion." Neither of these motions are cognizable. Letters rogatory are for requests from a court of one nation to the judiciary of a foreign nation enlisting the assistance of the latter in obtaining evidence. 28 U.S.C. 1781, United States v. Zabady, 546 F.Supp. 35, 39 n. 9 (M.D. Pa. 1982).

Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure authorizes the Court to correct any clerical errors in judgment, orders or other parts of the record arising from oversight or omission. The defendant has not alleged any clerical mistakes in the judgment.

DATED this 2nd day of January, 2002.

Respectfully Submitted,

JOHN McKAY  
 United States Attorney

[Signature]  
 CARL BLACKSTONE  
 Assistant United States Attorney



CR 97-00051 #00000573